Integrating a new public health order

The Mental Health Series in The Lancet made a compelling case for launching a new movement for mental health.1 The Series’ call to integrate mental health into public health must, however, be viewed in the context of its current Series, which aims to place chronic diseases higher on the global public-health agenda. Both Series underscore the need to reorient public-health priorities worldwide—and rightly so given that 63% of the global burden of disease can be attributed collectively to mental health and chronic diseases.2

Both Series hold individual merit in terms of their potential to advocate for change in global health priorities and policies, set global norms and standards, and provide globally acceptable knowledge for action in their respective domains. However, they also raise a number of questions: is there a need to converge both the calls to action into a common message for unified action to reorient public-health priorities? Can prevention and health promotion for chronic diseases and mental health be combined in the same programme with similar activities but hold different meanings for two groups of target population? And is it plausible to advocate for integration across this new public-health agenda?

Clearly evidence from process and outcome evaluations is needed to answer these questions because countries have limited experience with such integration despite the existence of plans which show that some public-health programmes have been created in health systems and from which agencies such as WHO are now moving away. A coordinated agenda is also preferred over the silo approach in view of other contemporaneous public-health needs such as injury prevention and control of emerging and re-emerging infections, which also need to be mainstreamed into public-health planning.

Compelling as it seems, the process needs to be guided by evidence—not just on disease domain and action-level integration but also evidence that makes a case for health-systems strengthening.
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Global interest in addressing non-communicable disease

The burden of chronic disease is increasing, and now accounts for 70% of the global burden of disease and 60% of mortality. Yet this increase has not been accompanied by a proportionate rise in resources, neither human nor financial. Ovations, a subsidiary of the UnitedHealth Group, recently pledged US$15 million in cash and kind over 5 years to fund the creation of centres of excellence to increase individual, institutional, and community capacity to counter the pandemic of chronic non-communicable disease in developing countries. The global response to a request for proposals was surprisingly large and shows that there is appreciable—yet largely untapped—global interest for non-communicable disease prevention and control and that many partners from around the globe are gearing up to tackle the pandemic.

More than 70 countries across six continents responded to Ovations with 136 proposals, representing over 400 partnerships bridging academic institutions, non-governmental organisations, hospitals, public-private organisations, and government. Proposals included studies that focused on surveillance, community-based interventions, medical research, training of health professionals and community health workers, public education, and capacity-building. About 30% of the proposals highlighted training of health professionals and paraprofessionals, 30% proposed medical research, and more than 40% proposed community interventions. Interestingly, several proposals focused on forging strategic links with the private sector to harness market expertise.

The figure shows the global distribution of applicant partners by region, and the corresponding share of non-communicable disease deaths by region. About 61% of partners are from the three regions with the highest non-communicable disease mortality (Europe and central Asia, east Asia and Pacific, and Latin America and Caribbean). However, a substantial proportion (nearly a third) also comes from the two regions with the lowest non-communicable disease mortality (south Asia and sub-Saharan Africa), possibly indicating a desire to stop the